

	<p style="text-align: center;">Council</p> <p style="text-align: center;">4 November 2014</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Title</p>	<p>Report from Policy and Resources Committee – Referral to Council</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Report of</p>	<p>Head of Governance</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Wards</p>	<p>All</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Status</p>	<p>Public</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Enclosures</p>	<p>Policy and Resources Committee - 14 October 2014 Agenda Item 7:</p> <p>Annexe One: Annual Council – External Investigation Appendix 1: First report of Claer Lloyd-Jones Appendix 2: Second report of Claer Lloyd-Jones</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Officer Contact Details</p>	<p>Andrew Charlwood, Head of Governance (Acting) andrew.charlwood@barnet.gov.uk 020 8359 2014</p>

Summary

Agenda Item 7 of the Policy and Resources Committee on 14 October 2014 was referred up to Full Council by five members in accordance with the Constitution. Council is therefore requested to consider the recommendations and take a decision on them.

Recommendations

That Council consider and vote on the recommendations contained in Agenda Item 7 of the Policy and Resources Committee on 14 October 2014.

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 The Constitution allows a certain number of members to refer a matter on which a Committee had proposed to take a decision to its parent body. At the meeting on 14 October 2014, the required number of members of the Policy and Resources Committee referred Agenda Item 7 (Annual Council 2014 – External Investigation) up to the next meeting of Full Council.
- 1.2 As the Policy and Resources Committee did not take a decision, the procedures to be followed will be those set out in Paragraph 20 of Full Council Procedure Rules (Rules of Debate). For reports of Committees (including Overview and Scrutiny Committees), the Chairman of the relevant committee, or the Vice-Chairman in their absence, will move reception of the report and adoption of the recommendations. This report need not be seconded. The leader of each of the other groups, or another member of their group, will then have an opportunity to comment on the recommendation, and at the end of the time allowed the Mayor will bring this part of the debate to an end, whether or not all those entitled have spoken or completed their speeches.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 As set out in the substantive report.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

- 3.1 As set out in the substantive report.

4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

- 4.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

As set out in the substantive report.

5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

As set out in the substantive report.

5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

- 5.3.1 Constitution, Responsibility for Functions- Paragraph 6 - Members Rights to Refer Matters to Parent Body.

- 5.3.2 Constitution, Full Council Procedure Rules, Paragraphs 20 and 21 - Rules of Debate and Time for Debate

5.4 Risk Management

5.4.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5.5 Equalities and Diversity

5.5.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5.6 Consultation and Engagement

5.6.1 None

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 None.